Helical Bias in Solvophobically Folded **Oligo**(Phenylene Ethynylene)s

Mary S. Gin, Tsutomu Yokozawa,[†] Ryan B. Prince, and Jeffrey S. Moore*

Roger Adams Laboratory, Department of Chemistry and Materials Science & Engineering, The Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801

Received November 23, 1998

The design and synthesis of nonbiological chain molecules that adopt ordered conformations in solution has been an active area of research in recent years.¹ The aim of this research is to understand the forces that drive self-organization and, ultimately, to mimic the behavior of natural biopolymers. Toward this end, several strategies have been used to impart a bias on the screw sense of helical structures.² Previous work in this group on solution conformations of phenylene ethynylene oligomers has demonstrated a solvent-induced transition from a random, disordered conformation in "good" solvents such as chloroform to an ordered, putative helical conformation in "poor" solvents such as acetonitrile.^{3,4} Herein we report the use of an optically active binaphthol derivative inserted into the oligomer chain to impart a bias in the twist sense of the helical structure, as evidenced by solventdependent changes in the circular dichroism spectra (Figure 1).

Binaphthol derivative 1 was prepared from (R)-binaphthol in 86% overall yield following sequential treatment with bromine⁶ (-78-23 °C, 3 h) and TgOMs (K₂CO₃, 18-crown-6, 75 °C, 2 days). Palladium-catalyzed coupling of oligomers 2-5 with 1 was achieved under standard conditions7 (Pd(dba)2, CuI, Ph3P, Et3N, 75 °C, 18 h) to afford bis-coupled products 6-9 in 60-90% yields

Present address: Department of Applied Chemistry, Kanagawa University, Yokohama, Japan.

(1) (a) Yashima, E.; Maeda, Y.; Okamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8895. (b) Gellman, S. H. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 173. (c) Kirshenbaum, K.; Barron, A. E.; Goldsmith, R. A.; Armand, P.; Bradley, E. K.; Truong, K. T. V.; Dill, K. A.; Cohen, F. E.; Zuckermann, R. N. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1998, 95, 4303. (d) Bassani, D. M.; Lehn, J.-M.; Baum, G.; Fenske, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1845. (e) Hamuro, Y.; Geib, S. J.; Hamilton, A. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10587. (f) Seebach, D.; Matthews, J. L. Chem. Commun. 1997, 2015. (g) Iverson, B. L. Nature 1997, 385, 113. (h) Lokey, R. S.; Iverson, B. L. Nature 1995, 375, 303.

(2) For examples in which chiral monomers result in the formation of biased helical polymers, see: (a) Schlitzer, D. S.; Novak, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 2196. (b) Green, M. M.; Peterson, N. C.; Sato, T.; Teramoto, A.; Cook, R.; Lifson, S. Science 1995, 268, 1860. (c) Wittung, P.; Eriksson, M.; Lyng, R.; Nielsen, P. E.; Norden, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 10167. (d) References 1a-c and f. For examples in which chiral additives impart a bias on helical polymers, see: (e) ref 2a and Yashima, E.; Matsushima, T.; Okamoto, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 6345. For an example in which a chiral initiator resulted in the formation of a biased helical oligomer, see: (f) Ito, Y.; Miyake, T.; Hatano, S.; Shima, R.; Ohara, T.; Suginome, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 11880. For a leading reference on helicates, see: (g) Piguet, C.; Bernardinelli, G.; Hopfgartner, G. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2005. For an example of helical aggregates formed from an optically active helicene, see: (h) Nuckolls, C.; Katz, T. J.; Verbiest, T.; Van Elshocht, S.; Kuball, H.-G.; Kiesewalter, S.; Lovinger, A. J.; Persoons, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 8656. For a review on the use of binaphthyls in macromolecular systems, see: (i) Pu, L. *Chem. Rev.* 1998, 98, 2405.
(3) (a) Nelson, J. C.; Saven, J. G.; Moore, J. S.; Wolynes, P. G. *Science* 1997, 277, 1793. (b) Prince, R. B.; Okada, T.; Moore, J. S. *Angew. Chem.*, *J. C.*, 70, 2022

Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 233.

(4) Although our data supports an intramolecular folding event, others have obtained similar data and attributed the changes to intermolecular aggregation, see: Cornelissen, J.; Peeters, E.; Janssen, R. A.; Meijer, E. W. Acta Polym. 1998. 49. 471.

(5) Minimizations were performed using Macromodel 5.5 and the OPLS* GB/SA force field. Monte Carlo searches from several different initial conformations yielded the structure shown in Figure 1 as the global minimum. (6) Sogah, G. D. Y.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, 101, 3035.

(7) Zhang, J.; Pesak, D. J.; Ludwick, J. L.; Moore, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4227.



Figure 1. Minimized structure of a phenylene ethynylene octamer biscoupled to binaphthol.5

Scheme 1



(Scheme 1). The enantiomer (S)-8 was prepared from (S)binaphthol, and monocoupled oligomer 10 was prepared from the corresponding binaphthol derivative under similar coupling conditions. All products were characterized by ¹H NMR, HPLC, and mass spectrometry. As in our previous studies on the oligomers, the UV absorption and fluorescence emission spectra were solvent-dependent for all products except 6, which, as expected from our earlier work,3a is too short to form a stable helical conformation.

For CD studies, all solutions were prepared with an OD at λ_{max} of ca. 1.0 (2–11 μ M; molarity based on moles of oligomer). As shown in Figure 2a, the CD spectra of 6-9 in chloroform (i.e., a good solvent) are independent of chain length. The only observed signal is due to the chiral binaphthol unit in the chain. In contrast, large signals are evident in acetonitrile (Figure 2b). The shape and magnitude of the CD signal from the shortest oligomer 6 ($\Delta \epsilon_{294} = -75 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is the same in both solvents. For (R)-7–9, however, the values at 294 nm become positive, and a new band appears at 323 nm, corresponding to the electronic transition in the backbone chromophores.³ Whereas in chloroform the magnitude of the $\Delta \epsilon$ values are the same for the four oligomers, there is a dramatic increase in the $\Delta \epsilon$ values in acetonitrile as the size of the chain increases, indicating that the observed signal arises from the chiral environment of the helical backbone and that this environment persists as the chain lengthens.



Figure 2. CD spectra of **6–9** in (a) chloroform, and (b) acetonitrile. Note the different ordinate scales. All samples were prepared with OD ca. 1.0 (2–11 μ M in oligomer), and spectra were recorded at ambient temperature (23 °C).



Figure 3. Solvent denaturation of **8** measured by UV absorbance ratios (\bullet) and CD intensity (\Box) .

The presence of an isodichroic point at 302 nm suggests a similarity in the structures of 7-9, and the opposite sign of the signal arising from (S)-8 confirms that the induction of chirality in the backbone is caused by the binaphthol segment. The shape of the CD signals from monocoupled oligomer 10 are similar; however, the intensity of the signal in acetonitrile is less than one-half that of comparably sized bis-coupled 8. This may reflect a decrease in the effectiveness of the binaphthol segment toward biasing the twist sense of the helix when positioned at the end of the chain. An assay for determining the absolute bias of these helices has not yet been identified.

We have previously invoked a two-state model to analyze the transition between unfolded and helical conformations of oligomers such as **5**. This assumption allows us to use solvent composition titration data to approximate free energies of unfolding (ΔG_u).^{3a,8} In this study solvent composition titrations were performed on bis-hexamer **8** and mono-dodecamer **10** in an effort to probe the degree of helical destabilization caused by the presence of the binaphthol moiety in the center of the chain. Figure 3 shows the titration curves for **8** obtained by monitoring $\Delta \epsilon$ at 324 nm (\Box), and by monitoring the relative intensities of the UV absorption bands at 312 and 295 nm ($\textcircled{\bullet}$). The transition



Figure 4. Solvent denaturation of 8 (\Box) and 10 (\blacktriangle) measured by CD.

Table 1. ΔG_{CH3CN} and $[CHCl_3]_{1/2}$ Obtained from Solvent Denaturation of 8 and $10^{a,b}$

	$[\mathrm{CHCl}_3]_{1/2}{}^c$		$\Delta G_{ m CH3CN}{}^d$	
oligomer	CD	UV	CD	UV
8 10	67 81	64 80	6.7 10.5	5.9 9.6

 a See ref 8 and Supporting Information for details of the calculations. b For comparison, fluorescence titration of **5** gave [CHCl₃]_{1/2} = 75 and $\Delta G_{CH3CN} = 7.3.^9$ c Volume % in CH₃CN. d kcal/mol.

between folded and unfolded conformations, whether measured by UV or CD, occurs at similar chloroform concentrations (i.e., 60-70% CHCl₃).

Titrations performed on **10** gave curves analogous to those of **8**. Comparison of the CD titration curves of **8** (\Box) and **10** (\blacktriangle) illustrates that the unfolding transition for **10** occurs at higher chloroform composition (Figure 4). Analysis of the four titration curves to extricate ΔG_{u} 's (kcal/mol) invoked the linear free energy relationship shown in eq 1.⁸

$$\Delta G_{\rm u} = \Delta G_{\rm CH3CN} - m(\% \,{\rm CHCl}_3) \tag{1}$$

The midpoint of the transition occurs when $\Delta G_u = 0$, or at $[\text{CHCl}_3]_{1/2} = \Delta G_{\text{CH3CN}/m}$ (Table 1). Extrapolating to 0% CHCl₃, the free energy of unfolding in acetonitrile, ΔG_{CH3CN} , was obtained. From these data, one can see that the folded structure of **10** in acetonitrile is 3–5 kcal/mol more stable than that of **8**, indicating that the binaphthol in the center of **8** produces a destabilizing kink in the helix.

We have demonstrated that the introduction of an optically active binaphthol derivative into the backbone of phenylene ethynylene oligomers imparts a bias on the helical structures formed in acetonitrile. Using solvent denaturation methods, the amount of destabilization incurred when the binaphthol is positioned in the middle of the chain is estimated to be 3-5 kcal/mol. The extent to which the binaphthol biases the twist sense of the helix, however, is significantly greater when positioned in the center of the oligomer chain. The chiral cavity of these helices may prove to be effective as asymmetric recognition elements.

Acknowledgment. This research was funded by the National Science Foundation (Grant CHE 97-27172).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, UV, fluorescence, additional CD spectra, and the details of the ΔG_u calculations (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JA984017H

⁽⁸⁾ Pace, C. N.; Shirley, B. A.; Thomson, J. A. *Protein Structure: A Practical Approach*; Creighton, T. E., Ed.; IRL Press: New York, 1989; pp 311–330.

⁽⁹⁾ Prince, R. B.; Moore, J. S., unpublished results.